

Jakarta EE Spec Committee - April 7th, 2021

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Dan Bandera - IBM - **Kevin Sutter, Tom Watson**

Ed Bratt - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**

Andrew Pielage - Payara - Matt Gill

Scott Stark - Red Hat - Mark Little, **Scott Marlow**

David Blevins - Tomitribe - **Jean-Louis Monteiro**, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck** (chair)

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the March 24th meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of individual specs progress through the [JESP](#)
 - Jakarta EE 9.1 Plan Review ballot complete and [approved](#)
 - Jakarta EE 10 - Deadline for projects to start Plan Reviews is April 15th
 - Approval required for creating new profiles, see Specification section in the [EFSP](#)
 - <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls>
- Specification Committee's input to the [Jakarta EE 2021 Program Plan - Quarterly Progress](#) presentation and the [Jakarta EE 2021 Progress by Quarter](#) tracking spreadsheet [Tanja]
 - Q1: Rows 7, 29 and 42
 - Q2: Row 11See the spreadsheet linked above for notes on the items discussed
- Denoting which implementation was used to ratify a specification:
 - Ballot for the individual specification pages was resolved, Option 3 "Include the compatible implementation in the list of release artifacts at the top of the spec page" was preferred.

Individual specification pages to be updated be opportunistically as other updates are required. For an example see the [JSON Processing 2.0](#) specification page.

- For the Platform and Web Profile specifications, in previous discussions the Specification Committee decided to not list “other/additional” compatible implementations of the [Platform](#) or [Web Profile](#) specifications, a link will be added to these two spec project pages to the Jakarta EE Compatible Products [page](#).
Any objections? No objections raised.

Kevin to update these pages to show which implementation(s) was used for ratification as was agreed to on the recent ballot, and update the Compatible Implementations section to provide a link to the Compatible Products page. Refer to

<https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/350>

- Ed Bratt noted the email list (in all the TCKs) that is documented for escalating disputes is jakarta.ee-spec.committee@eclipse.org, since this is a private committee list, any email sent to this list, not from a committee member, will bounce
 - Recommendation the documented list be updated to jakarta.ee-spec@eclipse.org. Any objections?

No objections raised, please proceed to make the updates

TCK Process (<https://jakarta.ee/committees/specification/tckprocess>) is already been updated

An alternative to making this change would be to remove the user guide content regarding the `Test Appeals Process` and instead link to ...

<https://jakarta.ee/committees/specification/tckprocess>

- Jakarta NoSql PR [Ivar]
 - <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/333>
 - Should we allow updates to spec pages between reviews? Or reject this one?
- The Specification Committee agreed that in general after a Spec Committee member reviews the requested update and approves, the PR can be merged.